Questions Regarding the NCC LWRP RFP as of Tuesday, January 24, 2017:

1. **Question:** What is the total budget available for this phase of the work?

   **Answer:** The budget is flexible at this point and we’d prefer not to provide an exact amount. We would like all responders to put together a competitive package that at a minimum, addresses the scope of work. But we do encourage you to be creative and provide a budget and scope that fit your company’s vision for the project.

2. **Question:** Task 8 states ‘revisions to the Draft LWRP’ and directs the reader to Appendix 2 & 3. Are referring to Appendix A of the RFP (page 10-13)? If so, are those all the comments from the partner communities and NYSDOS that need to be addressed?

   **Answer:** That is a mistake on our part. Please assume the numbers and letters are interchangeable. The comments included are solely from DOS. Our DOS Representative has indicated that these are the general comments but more may follow. However, they have assured us that additional comments will not be substantial and will not require a significant investment to remedy.

   We fully expect that local stakeholders will have further input and request to modify or add to the current LWRP. Please assume that local stakeholders will have additional input through public meetings that require changes to the LWRP.

3. **Question:** During the draft LWRP what was the ‘typical’ review period allowed for partners and DOS. This is important to outlining a project schedule.

   **Answer:** The typical review period is bit harder to nail down as projects often shift under your feet. We typically tried to allow a minimum of two weeks and preferred about a month to allow comments. The time was roughly the same for DOS. However, DOS will have greater leeway in terms of extending a review period if needed. In terms of the final review or near final review, our experience is 30-60 days.

4. **Question:** Under Task 10, Complete Draft LWRP, it states ‘,with integrated harbor management plan’. Please clarify whether your expectation is for one harbor management plan for all three harbors OR three individual HMPs?

   **Answer:** The HMP can either be three different HMPs or it can be one HMP that has separate sections for each harbor. DOS has agreed to either approach, so long as the harbors are treated differently and their needs are addressed individually. This is to ensure that each harbors’ challenges and opportunities are addressed appropriately.

5. **Question:** Did the scope of Phase 1 include the Harbor Management Plan(s)? If it was included, can you tell us what level of work (pursuant to sector 603) has been completed?

   **Answer:** During Phase I, DOS and the County agreed that the HMP would be included in the LWRP as a section. Section 9.3 is that section from peter j. smith. However, you will notice it is just a place holder and needs substantial work. This was done because peter j. smith originally wanted the HMP section to be integrated within the LWRP rather than create one section that served as a complete narrative HMP. This was unacceptable to DOS. A true HMP in a separate section needs to be a part of the LWRP. As stated above it can either be three distinct HMPs or one with separate sections for each harbor as they each have their own unique challenges and opportunities.
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6. **Question:** Is the Complete DOS Work Plan Attached?

   **Answer:** It is available here: